



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

DK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/185,607 11/04/98 LEUNG

S 018733/0875

EXAMINER

HM22/0207

FOLEY & LARDNER  
SUITE 500  
3000 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20007-5300

BURKE, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1642

10

DATE MAILED:

02/07/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/185,607**

Applicant(s)  
**Leung et al**

Examiner  
**Julie E. Burke (Reeves), Ph.D.**

Group Art Unit  
**1642**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Julie E. Burke (Reeves), Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Tammy Loving (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 24 Jan 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant phoned concerning Paper no 8 mailed 12/23/99 which only arrived 1/23/00. The Examiner checked on PALM contents and found that the Raw Seq Listing for this case was processed 2/13/99, but not entered into PALM until after the Letter of 12/23/99 was mailed. Accordingly, letter of 12/23/99 is voided by the Examiner and the application is in compliance with the sequence requirements. A restriction requirement will be forth coming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*J Burke*

**JULIE BURKE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.